Interview Summary

Application No.

O9/649,215

Examiner

Tuan A. Vu

Applicant(s)

LAMKIN ET AL.

Art Unit

2193

morvion cummary	Examiner	Art Unit	-	
	Tuan A. Vu	2193		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>Tuan A. Vu</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Steve Freeland</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 20 September 2006.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representativ	e]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>claim 1</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>n/a</u> .				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ I	N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
			,	
	Tuent	nhlu		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	 	Examiner's signature, if required		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative had been presented with some suggestions from the Examiner to the effect of laying out more steps or material in the scenario recited in claim 1 in order to possibly put the claimed subject matter in improved condition for patentability. That is, more specifics in elaborating the implementation of each element recited in claim 1 were considered: from the variable definition source files to invoking runtime support from Java source files aimed for enhancing the menu and layout of the authoring interface which is done via manipulating definition of additional parameters being customized by the developer GUI in the authoring process, wherein the support comes from activating the additional source files via the variable replacement process using the definition XML file. It was later indicated that Applicant would not want to accept change to the claims as proposed and no agreement was reached..